



## 2013 Cereal Forage Trial Summary - 1 year data

### Detail of Peas and Seed Treatment Comparisons - 2 site average

Data extracted from overall trial of 25 entries

Yield x RFV  
as an Index

#### Comparing Meadow vs 4010 peas mixed 50% with oats

CDC Haymaker oat/ <b>4010 pea</b> , 50:50	114
CDC Haymaker oat/ <b>CDC Meadow pea</b> , 50:50	114
AC® Bradley oat/ <b>4010 pea</b> , 50:50	108
AC® Bradley oat/ <b>CDC Meadow pea</b> , 50:50	102

*Summary comment: Based on one year data there is no significant difference between Meadow yellow field peas and 4010 forage field peas when considering a combination of dry matter yield and relative feed value. This follows up last year's forage trial that indicated the ratio of peas did not have a significant impact on yield or quality.*

#### Seed Treatment Comparison

CDC Haymaker forage oat <b>untreated</b>	115
CDC Haymaker forage oat <b>Rancona Apex and Awaken</b>	112
CDC Haymaker forage oat <b>Rancona Apex</b>	104
CDC Maverick forage barley <b>Rancona Apex and Awaken</b>	103
CDC Maverick forage barley <b>untreated</b>	102
CDC Maverick forage barley <b>Rancona Apex</b>	96

*Summary Comment: Based on one year data there does not appear to be an advantage to seed treatments on cereal mixtures harvested as a forage. This is very interesting as our 3 year trial work on seed treatments for cereals harvested as grain, indicate a significant positive yield response to the use of seed treatments. Crop rotation may play a role. However, we will continue our practice of not treating seed of cereal forage mixtures.*

These trials sponsored in part by

